

PREA

ANNUAL REPORT

In 2016, the Corrections Corporation of America successfully re-branded as CoreCivic. CoreCivic has continued the long-established zero tolerance standard for sexual abuse and sexual harassment established by CCA. Our commitment to a zero tolerance standard predates the issuance of National PREA Standards.

Training and education continued to serve as critical components of our efforts to prevent sexual abuse. As CoreCivic expands into Community Corrections, staff at our new facilities have undergone the comprehensive PREA training that has been a key element in the company mission to provide the best possible environment for offenders.

Corrective measures were also developed following reviews of PREA incidents, and these measures are outlined within this report. During calendar year 2016, sixteen (16) facilities successfully completed the PREA audit process. Once again, these results affirm CoreCivic's commitment to creating a culture of reporting and addressing all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

We continue to take seriously our responsibility to respect and uphold the rights and welfare of inmates/detainees and residents in our care, and understand that preventing sexual abuse is a critical component of that effort.

Harley G. Lappin,

Executive Vice President and Chief Corrections Officer

Scope of the 2016 Annual Report

This report is compiled In accordance with the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) National Standards published in August 2012 and the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Standards published in March of 2014. DOJ Standards 115.87 and 115.287 provide direction for the collection of data. DOJ Standards 115.8 and 115.288, and DHS Standard 115.88 outline the responsibility for the review and assessment of collected data to improve the effectiveness of policies, practices and training for sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response.

This report provides a review of the incident-based and aggregated data for calendar year 2016 and a comparison of aggregated data for calendar years 2014, 2015 and 2016. This report provides recommendations for corrective actions to further reduce sexual abuse and sexual harassment within CoreCivic facilities.

<u>United States Department of Justice (DOJ) PREA Standard 115.6 - Definitions</u> Related To Sexual Abuse

Sexual abuse includes—

- (1) Sexual abuse of an inmate, detainee, or resident by another inmate, detainee, or resident; and
- (2) Sexual abuse of an inmate, detainee, or resident by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer.

Sexual abuse of an inmate, detainee, or resident by another inmate, detainee, or resident includes any of the following acts, if the victim does not consent, is coerced into such act by overt or implied threats of violence, or is unable to consent or refuse:

- (1) Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus, including penetration, however slight;
- (2) Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- (3) Penetration of the anal or genital opening of another person, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument; and
- (4) Any other intentional touching, either directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks of another person, excluding contact incidental to a physical altercation.

Sexual abuse of an inmate, detainee, or resident by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer includes any of the following acts, with or without consent of the inmate, detainee, or resident:

- (1) Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus, including penetration, however slight;
- (2) Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- (3) Contact between the mouth and any body part where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- (4) Penetration of the anal or genital opening, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument, that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;

- (5) Any other intentional contact, either directly or through the clothing, of or with the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks, that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- (6) Any attempt, threat, or request by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer to engage in the activities described in paragraphs (1)-(5) of this section;
- (7) Any display by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer of his or her uncovered genitalia, buttocks, or breast in the presence of an inmate, detainee, or resident, and
- (8) **Voyeurism** by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer. (Voyeurism by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer means an invasion of privacy of an inmate, detainee, or resident by staff for reasons unrelated to official duties, such as peering at an inmate who is using a toilet in his or her cell to perform bodily functions; requiring an inmate to expose his or her buttocks, genitals, or breasts; or taking images of all or part of an inmate's naked body or of an inmate performing bodily functions).

Sexual Harassment includes—

- (1) Repeated and unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or verbal comments, gestures, or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature by one inmate, detainee, or resident directed toward another; and
- (2) Repeated verbal comments or gestures of a sexual nature to an inmate, detainee, or resident by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer, including demeaning references to gender, sexually suggestive or derogatory comments about body or clothing, or obscene language or gestures

<u>United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) PREA Standard 115.6 - Definitions Related To Sexual Abuse and Assault</u>

For purposes of this part, the term— Sexual abuse includes—

- (1) Sexual abuse and assault of a detainee by another detainee; and
- (2) Sexual abuse and assault of a detainee by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer.

Sexual abuse of a detainee by another detainee includes any of the following acts by one or more detainees, prisoners, inmates, or residents of the facility in which the detainee is housed who, by force, coercion, or intimidation, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse, engages in or attempts to engage in:

- (1) Contact between the penis and the vulva or anus and, for purposes of this paragraph (1), contact involving the penis upon penetration, however slight;
- (2) Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- (3) Penetration, however slight, of the anal or genital opening of another person by a hand or finger or by any object;
- (4) Touching of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thighs or buttocks, either directly or through the clothing, with an intent to abuse, humiliate, harass, degrade or arouse or gratify the sexual desire of any person; or
- (5) Threats, intimidation, or other actions or communications by one or more detainees aimed at coercing or pressuring another detainee to engage in a sexual act.

Sexual abuse of a detainee by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer includes any of the following acts, if engaged in by one or more staff members, volunteers, or contract personnel who, with or without the consent of the detainee, engages in or attempts to engage in:

- (1) Contact between the penis and the vulva or anus and, for purposes of this paragraph (1), contact involving the penis upon penetration, however slight;
- (2) Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- (3) Penetration, however slight, of the anal or genital opening of another person by a hand or finger or by any object that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- (4) Intentional touching of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thighs or buttocks, either directly or through the clothing, that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- (5) Threats, intimidation, harassment, indecent, profane or abusive language, or other actions or communications, aimed at coercing or pressuring a detainee to engage in a sexual act;
- (6) Repeated verbal statements or comments of a sexual nature to a detainee;
- (7) Any display of his or her uncovered genitalia, buttocks, or breast in the presence of an inmate, detainee, or resident, or
- (8) Voyeurism, which is defined as the inappropriate visual surveillance of a detainee for reasons unrelated to official duties. Where not conducted for reasons relating to official duties, the following are examples of voyeurism: staring at a detainee who is using a toilet in his or her cell to perform bodily functions; requiring an inmate detainee to expose his or her buttocks, genitals, or breasts; or taking images of all or part of a detainee's naked body or of a detainee performing bodily functions.

Investigations:

In all instances of alleged PREA violations that may constitute a criminal act, CoreCivic provides timely notification and works closely with appropriate law enforcement agencies and the government partner. In such cases, CoreCivic invites law enforcement agencies to carry out the official investigation on-site and make the final determination as to the validity of the alleged PREA violation(s). For internal administrative investigation of PREA incidents, CoreCivic utilizes Investigators trained in gathering evidence and interviewing victims of sexual abuse. Training is in accordance with PREA Standard 115.34. Following an investigation, each PREA Incident will be determined to have been either:

Substantiated: An allegation that was investigated and determined to have occurred.

Unsubstantiated: An allegation that was investigated and the investigation produced insufficient evidence to make a final determination as to whether or not the incident occurred, or

Unfounded: An allegation that was investigated and determined not to have occurred.

Ongoing or Pending: An Investigation has not been completed.

All substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment result in the appropriate disciplinary actions taken against the Employee, Contractor, Volunteer, or Inmate, and where appropriate referral for prosecution.

Data Collection

CoreCivic utilizes an Incident Report Database to record and track all PREA Incidents from the initial report through the investigative and review process.

Two sets of data tables have been provided for 2016. The first set is in accordance with the DOJ Standards for Adult Prisons and Jails, and the second consists of data for CoreCivic Community Corrections facilities in accordance with DOJ Standards for Community Confinement Facilities.

For those tables containing data for Prisons and Jails there are notations indicating whether a facility houses detainees through agreements with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Facilities housing ICE detainees fall under the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Standards issued in 2014. DHS Standards differ from DOJ Standards in that DHS does not have a separate definition for Sexual Harassment and includes acts commonly defined as Sexual Harassment within the definition of Sexual Abuse. In 2016, CoreCivic operated six (6) facilities with exclusively ICE detainee populations. These facilities are Elizabeth, Eloy, Laredo, Stewart, South Texas Family Residential Center, and T. Don Hutto. Five (5) additional facilities (Florence Correctional Center, Central Arizona Detention Center, Otay Mesa, Torrance County Detention Facility and Northeast Ohio Correctional Center operated in 2016 with mixed populations of inmates/detainees falling under the authority of both DOJ and ICE.

In January of 2016, CoreCivic opened the Trousdale-Turner Correctional Center in Hartsville, Tennessee. The Correctional Treatment Facility in Washington DC, the Kit Carson Correctional Center in Colorado, North Fork Correctional Facility in Oklahoma and Winn in Louisiana were all phased out of operation in 2016. Where applicable, data from the partial year of operation from those facilities was included in this report.

In 2016, CoreCivic added Dahlia, Columbine, Ulster, Longmont, Boulder, and Centennial in Colorado to the Community Corrections Division. Those facilities are included in this 2016 Annual Report.

PRI	SONS/JAI	LS EMPLOYI	EE ON INMATE	-SEXUAL A	BUSE	
FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total
Adams	1,870.9	0	0	1	1	2
Bartlett	946.3	0	3	5	0	8
Bent County	1,261.0	1	0	0	0	1
Bradshaw	1,962.2	0	0	0	0	0
Central Arizona*	2,594.2	0	1	3	0	4
Cibola	717.6	0	0	0	0	0
Cimarron	1,624.5	1	3	2	0	6
Citrus	589.3	0	0	1	0	1
Coffee	2,613.2	2	3	3	1	9
Corr Treatment Facility	586.2	0	2	2	0	4
Crossroads	692.8	0	0	0	0	0
	-	0	0	0	0	0
Crowley	1,310.9					-
Davis	1,639.2	2	2	0	0	4
Eden	1,337.2	0	0	1	0	1
Elizabeth (ICE)	290.3	0	0	0	0	0
Eloy (ICE)	1,421.6	0	14	3	0	17
Florence *	1,784.7	0	1	1	0	2
Hardeman	1,972.0	1	3	1	0	5
Houston (ICE)	939.3	0	6	1	0	7
Jenkins	1,139.2	1	3	2	0	6
Kit Carson	328.9	0	1	0	0	1
La Palma	2,663.00	1	1	3	0	5
Lake City	882.2	0	2	1	0	3
Lake Erie	1,773.60	0	1	1	0	2
Laredo (ICE)	307	0	0	0	0	0
Leavenworth	709.6	0	2	5	0	7
Lindsey	970.9	0	3	0	0	3
Marion County Jail II	1,184.90	0	0	0	0	0
McRae	1,605.80	0	0	0	0	0
Metro	984	0	2	2	0	4
Nevada Southern	789.9	0	1	0	0	1
New Mexico Women's	610.7	0	8	5	0	13
Northeast Ohio *	699.8	0	0	0	0	0
Otay Mesa *	1,214.00	0	0	0	0	0
Red Rock	1,139.50	0	0	0	0	0
Saguaro	1,509.90	1	1	0	0	2
Silverdale	963.7	0	0	0	0	0
South Central	1,641.40	1	11	2	0	14
South Texas FRC (ICE)	1,139.80	0	1	0	0	1
Stewart (ICE)	1,423.90	0	3	4	0	7
T.Don Hutto (ICE)	497.1	0	0	0	0	0
Tallahatchie	2,242.10	0	0	3	0	3
Torrance *	649.3	1	2	2	0	5
Trousdale	1,703.40	0	3	13	0	16
Webb	322.6	0	0	1	0	1
West TN	322.0	0	2	0	0	2
Whee ler	2,662.80	1	4	1	0	6
Whiteville	1,503.10	0	2	4	0	6
Willacy	1,068.10	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0
Willacy	1,068.10		91			
*Also houses ICE Detainee		13	91	73	2	179

^{*}Also houses ICE Detainees

PI	RISONS/J/	AILS INMATE	Table 2 ON INMATE-S	EXUAL AB	USE	
FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total
Adams	1,870.9	0	0	1	0	1
Bartlett	946.3	0	4	0	0	4
Bent County	1,261.0	0	3	0	0	3
Bradshaw	1,962.2	1	2	1	0	4
Central Arizona*	2,594.2	2	10	2	0	14
Cibola	717.6	0	1	1	0	2
Cimarron	1,624.5	0	6	0	0	6
Citrus	589.3	0	4	0	0	4
Coffee	2,613.2	3	9	4	0	16
Corr Treatment Facility	586.2	1	4	1	0	6
Crossroads	692.8	1	0	1	0	2
Crowley	1,310.9	0	3	2	0	5
Davis	1,639.2	0	8	4	0	12
Eden	1,337.2	0	1	2	0	3
Elizabeth (ICE)	290.3	1	0	2	1	4
Eloy (ICE)	1,421.6	0	14	2	0	16
Florence *	1,784.7	2	6	1	0	9
Hardeman	1,972.0	3	10	0	0	13
Houston (ICE)	939.3	0	4	0	0	4
Jenkins	1,139.2	0	3	2	0	5
Kit Carson	328.9	0	0	0	0	0
La Palma	2,663.00	0	0	0	0	0
Lake City	882.2	0	1	1	1	3
Lake Erie	1,773.60	0	7	0	0	7
Laredo (ICE)	307	0	3	0	0	3
Leavenworth	709.6	0	1	0	0	1
Lindsey	970.9	0	2	0	0	2
Marion County Jail II	1,184.90	0	0	2	0	2
McRae	1,605.80	0	0	0	0	0
Metro	984	0	4	2	0	6
Nevada Southern	789.9	0	3	1	0	4
New Mexico Women's	610.7	1	1	1	0	3
Northeast Ohio *	699.8	1	3	0	0	4
Otay Mesa *	1,214.00	6	9	0	0	15
Red Rock	1,139.50	0	3	3	0	6
Saguaro	1,509.90	0	0	0	0	0
Silverdale	963.7	0	5	3	0	8
South Central	1,641.40	1	16	0	0	
South Texas FRC (ICE)	1,139.80	0	0	0	0	17 0
Stewart (ICE)	1,423.90	5	9	1	0	15
T.Don Hutto (ICE)	497.1	0	5	2	0	7
Fallahatchie	2,242.10	0	2	0	0	2
forrance *	649.3	0	0	0	0	0
rousdale	1,703.40	0	10	3	0	13
Nebb	322.6	0	0	0	0	0
West TN	329.7	0	3	0	0	3
		1	7		0	
Whee ler	2,662.80			5		13
Whiteville	1,503.10	0	3	1	0	4
Willacy	1,068.10	0	0	0	0	0
Totals *Also houses ICE Detainee		29	189	51	2	271

^{*}Also houses ICE Detainees

PRISONS/JAILS EMPLOYEE ON INMATE-SEXUAL HARASSMENT								
FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total		
Adams	1,870.9	0	0	0	0	0		
Bartlett	946.3	1	1	0	0	2		
Bent County	1,261.0	0	0	0	0	0		
Bradshaw	1,962.2	0	0	0	0	0		
Central Arizona*	2,594.2	0	0	1	0	1		
Cibola	717.6	0	0	0	0	0		
Cimarron	1,624.5	0	0	1	0	1		
Citrus	589.3	0	2	1	0	3		
Coffee	2,613.2	0	5	1	0	6		
Corr Treatment Facility	586.2	0	1	0	0	1		
Crossroads	692.8	0	1	0	0	1		
Crowley	1,310.9	0	0	0	0	0		
Davis	1,639.2	1	1	1	0	3		
Eden	1,337.2	0	0	0	0	0		
Elizabeth (ICE)	290.3	0	0	0	0	0		
Eloy (ICE)	1,421.6	0	0	0	0	0		
Florence*	1,784.7	0	0	0	0	0		
Hardeman	1,972.0	0	2	1	0	3		
Houston (ICE)	939.3	0	0	0	0	0		
Jenkins	1,139.2	0	1	1	0	2		
Kit Carson	328.9	0	0	0	0	0		
La Palma	2,663.00	0	0	0	0	0		
Lake City	882.2	0	0	2	0	2		
Lake Erie	1,773.60	0	0	0	0	0		
Laredo	307	0	0	0	0	0		
Leavenworth	709.6	0	1	0	0	1		
Lindsey	970.9	0	0	0	0	0		
Marion County Jail II	1,184.90	0	0	0	0	0		
McRae	1,605.80	0	0	0	0	0		
Metro	984	0	3	1	0	4		
Nevada Southern	789.9	0	0	1	0	1		
New Mexico Women's	610.7	1	0	2	0	3		
Otay Mesa*	699.8	0	0	0	0	0		
Northeast Ohio*	1,214.00	0	0	0	0	0		
Red Rock	1,139.50	0	1	1	0	2		
Saguaro	1,509.90	0	0	0	0	0		
Silverdale	963.7	0	1	1	0	2		
South Central	1,641.40	0	6	1	0	7		
South Central South Texas FRC (ICE)	1,139.80	0	0	0	0	0		
Stewart (ICE)	1,139.80	0	0	0	0	0		
T. Don Hutto (ICE)	1,423.90 497.1	0	0			,		
Tallahatchie		0	0	0	0	0		
	2,242.10 649.3		0	0	0	1		
Torrance		0	- i		0	0		
Trousdale	1,703.40	0	0	0	0	0		
Webb	322.6	0	0	0	0	0		
West TN	329.7	0	0	0	0	0		
Wheeler	2,662.80	0	2	2	0	4		
Whiteville	1,503.10	0	1	0	0	1		
Willacy	1,068.10	0	0	0	0	0		
Totals		3	29	19	0	51		

)NS/JAILS		N INMATE-SE		ASSMENT	
FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total
Adams	1,870.9	0	2	0	0	2
Bartlett	946.3	0	2	0	0	2
Bent County	1,261.0	0	0	0	0	0
Bradshaw	1,962.2	0	0	0	0	0
Central Arizona*	2,594.2	2	8	3	0	13
Cibola	717.6	0	4	0	0	4
Cimarron	1,624.5	0	7	1	0	8
Citrus	589.3	3	2	0	0	5
Coffee	2,613.2	2	5	0	0	7
Corr Treatment Facility	586.2	2	0	0	0	2
Crossroads	692.8	0	0	0	0	0
Crowley	1,310.9	0	0	0	0	0
Davis	1,639.2	0	4	2	0	6
Eden	1,337.2	0	1	0	0	1
Elizabeth (ICE)	290.3	0	0	0	0	0
Eloy (ICE)	1,421.6	0	0	0	0	0
Florence*	1,784.7	0	3	0	0	3
Hardeman	1,972.0	0	3	0	0	3
Houston (ICE)	939.3	0	0	0	0	0
Jenkins	1,139.2	1	5	1	0	7
Kit Carson	328.9	0	4	1	0	5
La Palma	2,663.00	0	2	0	0	2
Lake City	882.2	0	4	0	0	4
Lake Erie	1,773.60	0	0	1	0	1
Laredo (ICE)	307	0	0	0	0	0
Leavenworth	709.6	0	0	0	0	0
Lindsey	970.9	0	2	2	0	4
Marion County Jail II	1,184.90	0	0	0	0	0
McRae	1,605.80	0	0	0	0	0
Metro	984	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada Southern	789.9	3	2	2	0	7
New Mexico Women's	610.7	0	1	0	0	1
Northeast Ohio *	699.8	1	1	0	0	2
Otay Mesa*	1,214.00	0	0	0	0	0
Red Rock	1,139.50	1	2	0	0	3
Saguaro	1,509.90	0	2	5	0	7
Silverdale	963.7	0	1	1	0	2
South Central	1,641.40	0	1	1	0	2
South Texas FRC (ICE)	1,139.80	0	0	0	0	0
Stewart (ICE)	1,423.90	0	0	0	0	0
T. Don Hutto (ICE)	497.1	0	0	0	0	0
Tallahatchie	2,242.10	0	0	0	0	0
Forrance*	649.3	1	0	0	0	1
Trousdale	1,703.40	0	0	0	0	0
Webb	322.6	0	0	0	0	0
West TN	329.7	0	0	0	0	0
Wheeler	2,662.80	0	0	1	0	1
Whiteville	1,503.10	1	2	0	0	3
Willacy	1,068.10	2	3	0	0	5
Totals	1,000.10	19	73	21	0	113

^{*}Also houses ICE Detainees

Table 5

COMMUNITY CONFINEMENT
EMPLOYEE ON RESIDENT-SEXUAL ABUSE

FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	TOTAL
Arapahoe	123	0	0	0	0	0
Austin RRC	78	0	0	0	0	o
Austin TC	394	0	0	0	0	0
Boston Avenue	102	0	0	1	0	1
Boulder	65	0	0	0	0	0
Carver	273	0	0	0	0	0
Centennial	101	0	0	0	0	0
Cheyenne	86	0	0	0	0	0
Columbine	53	0	1	0	0	1
Corpus Christi	141	0	0	0	0	0
Dahlia	110	0	0	0	0	0
Dallas	282	0	0	0	0	0
El Paso Multi Use	285	0	0	0	0	0
El Paso TC	181	0	0	0	0	0
Fort Worth	193	0	0	0	0	0
Fox	67	0	0	0	0	0
Longmont	66	1	1	0	0	2
Ocean View	372	0	0	3	0	3
Tulsa	241	0	0	0	0	0
Γurleγ	149	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	75	0	0	0	0	0
Totals		1	2	4	0	7

Table 6

COMMUNITY CONFINEMENT RESIDENT ON RESIDENT-SEXUAL ABUSE

KESIDENI ON KESIDENI-SEXUAL ABUSE							
FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	TOTAL	
Arapahoe	123	0	0	0	0	0	
Austin RRC	78	0	0	0	0	0	
Austin TC	394	0	0	0	0	0	
Boston Avenue	102	0	0	0	0	0	
Boulder	65	0	0	0	0	0	
Carver	273	0	0	0	0	0	
Centennial	101	0	0	0	0	0	
Cheyenne	86	0	0	0	0	0	
Columbine	53	0	0	0	0	0	
Corpus Christi	141	0	0	0	0	0	
Dahlia	110	0	0	1	0	1	
Dallas	282	0	1	0	0	1	
El Paso Multi Use	285	0	3	4	0	7	
El Paso TC	181	0	0	0	0	0	
Fort Worth	193	0	0	0	0	0	
Fox	67	0	0	0	0	0	
Longmont	66	2	0	0	0	2	
Ocean View	372	3	0	0	0	3	
Tulsa	241	0	0	0	0	0	
Turley	149	0	0	0	0	0	
Ulster	75	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals		5	4	5	0	14	

Table 7

COMMUNITY CONFINEMENT RESIDENT ON RESIDENT-SEXUAL HARASSMENT

FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	TOTAL
Arapahoe	123	0	0	0	0	0
Austin RRC	78	0	0	0	0	0
Austin TC	394	0	0	0	0	0
Boston Avenue	102	0	0	0	0	0
Boulder	65	0	0	0	0	0
Carver	273	0	0	0	0	0
Centennial	101	0	0	0	0	0
Cheyenne	86	0	0	0	0	0
Columbine	53	0	0	0	0	0
Corpus Christi	141	0	0	0	0	0
Dahlia	110	0	0	0	0	0
Dallas	282	0	0	0	0	0
El Paso Multi Use	285	0	0	0	0	0
El Paso TC	181	0	0	0	0	0
Fort Worth	193	0	0	0	0	0
Fox	67	0	0	0	0	0
Longmont	66	0	0	0	0	0
Ocean View	372	0	0	0	0	0
Tulsa	241	0	0	0	0	0
Turley	149	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	75	0	0	0	0	0
Totals		0	0	0	0	0

Table 8

COMMUNITY CONFINEMENT RESIDENT ON RESIDENT- SEXUAL HARASSMENT

FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	TOTAL
Arapahoe	123	0	0	0	0	0
Austin RRC	78	0	0	0	0	0
Austin TC	394	0	0	0	0	0
Boston Avenue	102	0	0	0	0	0
Boulder	65	1	1	0	0	0
Carver	273	0	0	0	0	0
Centennial	101	0	0	0	0	0
Cheyenne	86	0	0	0	0	0
Columbine	53	0	0	0	0	0
Corpus Christi	141	0	0	0	0	0
Dahlia	110	0	1	0	0	0
Dallas	282	0	0	0	0	0
El Paso Multi Use	285	0	0	0	0	0
El Paso TC	181	0	0	0	0	0
Fort Worth	193	0	0	0	0	0
Fox	67	0	0	0	0	0
Longmont	66	1	0	0	0	0
Ocean View	372	0	0	0	0	0
Tulsa	241	0	0	0	0	0
Turley	149	0	0	0	0	0
Ulster	75	0	0	0	0	0
Totals		2	2	0	0	4

Data Review

INCIDENT COMPARISON 2014	I- 2015-2016	(PRISONS/JA	ILS)
SUBSTANTIATED	2014	2015	2016
INMATE ON INMATE SEXUAL HARRASSMENT	16	15	19
INMATE ON INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE	11	20	29
EMPLOYEE ON INMATE SEXUAL HARRASSMENT	7	5	3
EMPLOYEE ON INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE	22	19	13
TOTALS	56	59	64
2.		v	
UNSUBSTANTIATED	2014	2015	2016
INMATE ON INMATE SEXUAL HARRASSMENT	82	100	73
INMATE ON INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE	156	179	189
EMPLOYEE ON INMATE SEXUAL HARRASSMENT	44	52	29
EMPLOYEE ON INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE	115	73	91
TOTAL	397	404	382
UNFOUNDED	2014	2015	2016
INMATE ON INMATE SEXUAL HARRASSMENT	17	18	21
INMATE ON INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE	38	42	51
EMPLOYEE ON INMATE SEXUAL HARRASSMENT	13	31	19
EMPLOYEE ON INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE	74	51	73
TOTAL	142	142	164
TOTAL INCIDENTS	595	605	610

SUBSTANTIATED INCIDENTS PRISONS/JAILS	2015	2016	% Change
Employee on Inmate Sexual Abuse	19	13	-32%
Inmate on Inmate Sexual Abuse	20	29	45%
Employee on Inmate Sexual Harassment	5	3	-40%
Inmate on Inmate Sexual Harassment	15	19	27%
Totals	59	64	8.5%

While there were minor increases in total incidents from 2014 to 2015, and then again from 2015 to 2016, the numbers of total incidents reported each year has remained steady over the last three years. In January of 2016 CoreCivic opened the 1,700 bed Trousdale-Turner facility in Tennessee. The population of this facility added a significant number of reported incidents as it began the ramp up process. The number of ICE detainees has also increased thereby increasing the number of incidents categorized as Sexual Abuse as opposed to Sexual Harassment. The decreases in Substantiated Incidents shown in both Employee on Inmate categories is a positive indicator and should be a focus for 2017.

2016 Corrective Actions Based on Audit and Incident Review

During calendar year 2106 a total of sixteen (16) CoreCivic facilities were audited by PREA Auditors certified by the United States Department of Justice. These facilities were as follows:

Prisons/Jails

Bartlett State Jail-Texas
Bradshaw State Jail-Texas
Correctional Treatment Facility-Washington DC
South Central Correctional Center-Tennessee
Torrance County Detention Facility-New Mexico
McCrae Correctional Facility-Georgia (BOP)
Jenkins County Correctional Center-Georgia
Webb County Detention Center-Texas

Community Corrections

Austin Transitional Center-Texas
Corpus Christi Center-Texas
Dallas Transitional Center-Texas
El Paso Multi Use/El Paso Transitional Center-Texas
Fort Worth Transitional Center-Texas
Columbine -Colorado
Dahlia-Colorado
Ulster-Colorado

Each of these audits was preceded by a Mock Audit conducted by CoreCivic PREA Auditors. The internal and external audits combined to provide valuable information needed to identify and correct potential problems in PREA Policy, staffing and implementation of technology. These audits, when layered with PREA Incident Reviews conducted at the facility level resulted in several significant areas of improvement in 2016 including:

- Integration of the OMSe computer system database which features the electronic version of the 14-2 B PREA Screening Instrument. The electronic version of the screening instrument enhances the ability of staff to enter data, identify inmates/detainees/residents who are at risk and to manage housing alerts. During 2016, extensive training was provided by the CoreCivic FSC PREA Coordinator.
- Full Milestone camera upgrades were completed at Adams, Eden, Cimarron, Hardeman and Whiteville. Additions to existing systems were completed at Crowley as well as the Boston Avenue and Oceanview facilities in Community Corrections.
- Multiple locations reported that PREA Incident Reviews resulted in the addition of mirrors throughout the facilities to eliminate blind spots and enhance supervision of inmates/residents. Additions of shower curtains, shower doors and other barriers to afford greater privacy were also reported.

Assessment

The data presented in this Annual Report demonstrates that the total number of PREA incidents reported annually has remained steady over the last three (3) years. This consistency, despite fluctuations in inmate populations and the industry, can be attributed to a variety of factors including facility leadership, a focus on continued training, and the effectiveness of the CoreCivic audit preparation process. As CoreCivic continues into the next Audit Cycle, it is essential that strategies are developed to increase efforts to reduce overall numbers. The data has suggested areas of opportunity where additional staff and inmate training can be provided for 2017 and beyond. In 2016, CoreCivic made a significant commitment to provide resources in technology as a key element in preventing sexual abuse. Cameras and the ability complete assessments through the OMSe computer program, were added to the tools staff have to manage inmates and residents. The needs for technology, training, and programs will continue to be assessed throughout 2017.